

# **ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

## **SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE SECTION 11.10.230[1]**

Updated March 15, 2006

# SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

## Environmental Checklist

### Purpose of Checklist:

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

### Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply." Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

### Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply."

IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (Part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

### A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: UCAES (Copper Columbia Academy elementary school) soil relocation to accommodate future gym
2. Name of applicant: Marvin Gee for UCAES
3. Address and phone number of applicant or contact person: 19425 S DUNICAN Rd  
Spangle, WA 99031

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

- 4. Date checklist prepared: Sept 6, 2018 Rec'd 9/10/18  
KC
- 5. Agency requesting checklist: Building & Planning, Spokane County
- 6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): September, October, November 9/10/18  
KC

- 7. a. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. Construction of gym in part where soil moved from. Maybe be subject to another SEPA review. KC 9/11/18
- b. Do you own or have options on land nearby or adjacent to this proposal? If yes, explain. Proposed site is part of land on which Upper Kolumbit Academy is located. Various parcels surrounding project parcel A. 32094.9014, .9015, 32094.9007, 32102.9004, .9015, 32103.9008, .9023 KC 9/11/18

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to his proposal. NONE Know About

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. NO

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. For this phase only the permit to relocate soil. Flood plain permit thru Spo County Public Works & possible NPDES permit thru WA SF Dept of Ecology. KC 9/11/18

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. To relocate approximately 1600 yds of soil to accommodate gym building site. The gym site extends into a hill side. (see accompanying Engineering site plan) Soil to be removed from parcel 32103.9023 and to be placed on adjacent parcel 32094.9007 to the west. KC 9/11/18

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information to a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit application related to this checklist.

UCA Elementary School  
3025 E. Spangle - Waverly Rd. Spangle WA. 99031

S.W. 1/4 of the N.W. 1/4 sec 10, T22N, R43E, W.M.  
Parcel Nos affected 32103, 9023 & 32094, 9007. Address  
of Elementary School is 2804 E Spangle - Waverly Rd. See  
attached vicinity map & grading plan RC 9/11/18

13. Does the proposed action lie within the Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA)?

DO NOT Know

Yes - Project sites are mapped within  
a High Critical Aquifer Recharge Area  
designation. RC 9/11/18

14. The following questions supplement Part A.

a. Critical Aquifer Recharge Area (CARA)

(1) Describe any systems, other than those designed for the disposal of sanitary waste, installed for the purpose of discharging fluids below the ground surface (includes systems such as those for the disposal of stormwater or drainage from floor drains). Describe the type of system, the amount of material to be disposed of through the system and the types of material likely to be disposed of (including materials which may enter the system inadvertently through spills or as a result of firefighting activities).

Does Not Apply

(2) Will any chemicals (especially organic solvents or petroleum fuels) be stored in aboveground or underground storage tanks? If so, what types and quantities of material will be stored?

N/A

(3) What protective measures will be taken to insure that leaks or spills of any chemicals stored or used on site will not be allowed to percolate to groundwater. This includes measures to keep chemicals out of disposal systems.

N/A.

Project shall comply  
w/ Spo Co. Critical Areas  
Ordinance. RC 9/11/18

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

(4) Will any chemicals be stored, handled or used on the site in a location where a spill or leak will drain to surface or groundwater or to a stormwater disposal system discharging to surface or groundwater?

N/A

b. Stormwater

(1) What are the depths on the site to groundwater and to bedrock (if known)?

Do not know

(2) Will stormwater be discharged into the ground? If so, describe any potential impacts?

N/A

NO

Spo Co. Erosion & Sediment Control Measures to be in place during grading project. KC 9/11/18

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

Evaluation for Agency Use Only

1. Earth

a. General description of the site (circle one): flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountains, other:

Flat Extending to Rolling.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

15%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

NAFF silt loam and thaluna - NAFF complex

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

NO

Yes - Erodible soils exist per Spo. Co. maps.

KC 9/11/18

0

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

Evaluation for  
Agency Use  
Only

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill:

grading Approx. 1600 yards No filling.

(See project description question 11)  
KC 9/11/18

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

yes After clearing, grub and rough grade

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

NONE

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Install temporary ESC BMP's,

See ESC plan as prepared  
by David Randall.  
9/11/18 KC

2. Air

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

possibly some dust during relocation  
of soil

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

NO

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

As needed wet down surface areas  
affected

Grading project shall comply  
w/ spo. Regional Clean Air  
Agency Reg's. KC 9/11/18

3. Water

a. SURFACE:

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

Evaluation for Agency Use Only

(1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

NO

Yes - Mapped DNR, Fish Bearing Stream located approx 400 ft North of project site, per Spo. Co. maps.

KC 9/11/18

(2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

NO

(3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from the surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A.

(4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A

(5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

NO

- Flood Zone A is mapped along DNR Fish Bearing Stream Spo. Co. Public Works to be a renewed Authority for grading permit

(6) Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

NO

Insurance KC 9/11/18

b. GROUND:

(1) Will groundwater be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

NO withdrawal - discharged only to Abate just if a problem

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

Evaluation for  
Agency Use  
Only

- (2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sanitary waste treatment facility. Describe the general size of the system, the number of houses to be served (if applicable) or the number of persons the system(s) are expected to serve.

N/A.

c. WATER RUNOFF (INCLUDING STORMWATER):

- (1) Describe the source of runoff (including stormwater) and method of collection and disposal if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

None other than Already Exist  
Landscaping to channel runoff.

Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

N/A.

- d. PROPOSED MEASURES to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any.

Install temporary ESC BMP's

4. Plants

- a. Check or circle type of vegetation found on the site:

- Deciduous tree: *alder, maple, aspen, other.*
- Evergreen tree: *fir, cedar, pine, other.*
- Shrubs
- Grass
- Pasture
- Crop or grain
- Wet soil plants, *cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other.*
- Water plants: *water lilly, eelgrass, milfoil, other.*
- Other types of vegetation. Various weeds



SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

Evaluation for  
Agency Use  
Only

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?  
Approximately 200 sq. yd. of Dry land farming  
soil - wheat, Peas lentils, Garbanzos, Barley  
Depending on crop rotation.
- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.  
NONE KNOWN
- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: GRASSES

5. Animals

- a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site are known to be on or near the site:  
birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other: Starlings, Quail  
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other: \_\_\_\_\_  
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other: \_\_\_\_\_  
other: \_\_\_\_\_
- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.  
NONE
- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. Birds do  
fly over head.
- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: NONE

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds or energy (electric, natural gas, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.  
Equipment. Diesel. to Run
- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. NO

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

Evaluation for  
Agency Use  
Only

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

NONE

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

NO

- (1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

NONE

- (2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

N/A

b. NOISE:

- (1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

NONE

- (2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short term - Excavating Equipment

Between 0730 AM to 6<sup>30</sup> pm M-F for approx 1 week.

- (3) Proposed measure to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

NONE

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

Evaluation for  
Agency Use  
Only

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Dry land Farming / playground.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe. yes

Dry land Farming.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Specific site Adjacent but on  
Church of Elementary school  
epist on project site. KC 9/11/18

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, which? NO

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? LTA

Large tract Ag.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

School

LTA - Large Tract  
Agriculture  
KC 9/11/18

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

N/A.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area? If so, specify.

NO

Yes - High CWA, Flood Plain,  
Erodible Soils, DNR Stream,  
mapped on parcel per spo. Co. maps  
KC 9/11/18

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

None

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

NONE

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

NONE

Evaluation for  
Agency Use  
Only

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high-, middle or low-income housing. \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high-, middle- or low-income housing. \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

N/A.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed? \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? \_\_\_\_\_

N/A.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

N/A

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? NONE
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? NO
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? NONE
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: NONE

Evaluation for Agency Use Only

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Elementary School playground
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. NO
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: NONE

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe. NONE

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic archaeological, scientific or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

NONE

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any: NONE

14. Transportation

Evaluation for  
Agency Use  
Only

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

SPANGLE-WEAVERLY Rd

- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? NO

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate? NONE

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). NONE

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail or air transportation? If so, generally describe. NONE

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak would occur. NONE

(Note: to assist in review and if known indicate vehicle trips during PM peak, AM Peak and Weekday (24 hours).)

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: NONE

15. Public services

SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

NO

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any:

NONE

16. Utilities

Evaluation for Agency Use Only

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse  
~~service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other:~~

All of these to the adjacent school

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

NONE

C. SIGNATURE

I, the undersigned, swear under penalty of perjury that the above responses are made truthfully and to the best of my knowledge. I also understand that, should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part, the agency must withdraw any determination of Nonsignificance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist.

Date: Sept. 6, 2018

Signature: Marvin R. Cree for UCAES

Please Print or Type:

Proponent: Marvin R. Cree

Address: 19425 S DUNCAN RD  
Spangle, WA 99031

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Person completing form (if different from proponent):

SAME

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**SPOKANE ENVIRONMENTAL ORDINANCE**

(WAC 197-11-985) Section 11.10.230(1)

File No. B1802851

**FOR STAFF USE ONLY**

Staff member(s) reviewing checklist:

Kathy Cummings

Based on this staff review of the environmental checklist and other pertinent information, the staff concludes that:

- A. there are no probable significant adverse impacts and recommends a Determination of Nonsignificance.
- B. probable significant adverse environmental impacts do exist for the current proposal and recommends a Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance with conditions.
- C. there are probable significant adverse environmental impacts and recommends a Determination of Significance.